| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| Interview Summary | 09/925,436 | |
| | Examin r | KOCHER, ROBERT WILL Art Unit |
| | Anthony D Barfield | 3636 |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F | PTO personnel): | |
| (1) <u>Anthony D Barfield</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Robert Kocher. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 51503 | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant | t 2) applicant's represent | ative] |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Applicant brought a samp | | armor support system) . |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 7</u> . | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Boyce et al USP 3 | <u>278,230</u> . | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached | d. g)⊠ was not reached. h) | □ N/A. |
| reached, or any other comments: Examiner informed as be clearly pointed out in the above claims. Applicant had to place the application in better condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the an allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to | npplicant that more structural as agreed to better define the e. nendments which the examine no copy of the amendments the ched.) CE ACTION MUST INCLUDE to the last Office action has alrested. | nd functional limitations need load transfer mechanism in or agreed would render the clai at would render the claims THE SUBSTANCE OF THE ady been filed, APPLICANT IS |
| | | |
| | Anthony D Barfield 3836 Anthony D Barfield 38 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | - Fyaminer's 9 | signature, if required |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 04-03)